Goldfish Retina: Sign of the Rod Input in Opponent Color Ganglion Cells

Abstract. After light adaptation, all "on-center" ganglion cells in the dark became "red on-center," and all "off-center" cells turned into "red off-center" cells. On a chance basis, this similitude of effect between the rods and the red cones in opponent color cells was not expected. These findings indicate that in the goldfish there is some similarity between the connections of the rods and of the long-wavelength cones.

With respect to their receptor input, ganglion cells found in the retina are of three types: one type receiving a pure cone input, a second type receiving a pure rod input, and a third type receiving a mixed rod and cone input. In duplex retinas, the second type is generally absent and the third type is the most common. If the cones contain pigments having different spectral absorption characteristics, ganglion cells usually show an opponent color behavior (1).

In certain ganglion cells a color opponent mechanism is found to be present, both in the center and in the periphery of the receptive field of the ganglion cells. Such cells are common in the goldfish retina (2). An interesting question arises regarding the type of connection that the rod input has on such cells. For example, in a ganglion cell showing in the center of its receptive field a red-green opponent mechanism, where the red light produces an ON response and the green light an OFF response, there exist two distinct possibilities: the rod input can, in the center of the field, produce either an ON response as do the red cones or an OFF response as do the green cones. Of course, the same possi-



Fig. 1. Average spectral sensitivity of a few dark-adapted cells. Triangles pointing up represent on-center cells, and triangles pointing down, off-center cells. The solid line was generated from a nomogram for a pigment with its maximum at 522 nm. The shift of the experimental curve toward the long wavelengths could be attributed to a contamination from the red cone input.

bilities exist in the surround. Neglecting the blue cones, one could predict for such a cell equal probability for the rod input to be excitatory like the red cones, or inhibitory like the green cones.

To provide an answer to this question, a series of experiments was undertaken on the isolated retina (3) of the Comet goldfish (Carassius auratus). Single-unit activity from ganglion cells was recorded extracellularly with tungsten microelectrodes. In order to maximize the probability of a complete characterization, both in the darkadapted state and after light adaptation, I chose only cells having a spike amplitude greater than 100 μ v for study. Since regeneration of the rod pigment is very limited in an isolated retina, I had to study the dark-adapted characteristics first and then proceed to the light-adapted retina. This limited the study to one cell per retina.

Recordings from 20 cells (12 oncenter, 8 off-center), which lasted more than 1 hour each, provide the following picture. All cells have a centersurround organization in the darkadapted state, with the center either on or OFF to all wavelengths and the surround with an antagonistic effect to the center. The evidence for a rod input in the dark-adapted state is from (i) the low threshold required to elicit a response (less than 10⁶ quanta cm⁻² sec^{-1} , (ii) the required light adaptation period before the opponent color responses can be observed at an intensity 3 log units above the rod threshold, and (iii) the spectral sensitivity measurements, as shown in Fig. 1. The shift of the experimental points toward the red is probably due to a red cone contamination because of the high cone/ rod ratio (7/32) in Carassius (4). In terms of the center response only, all cells that are ON in the dark-adapted state became ON to red and OFF to green after light adaptation. Similarly, all OFF cells became OFF to red and ON to green. In the light-adapted state, these cells are all of the type described by Daw (2), having a color opponent organization both in the center and in the surround and a neutral point around 560 nm, indicating a red-green opponent cell. Figure 2 shows the complete response of these cells when one adds the rod input.

Because of the spectral absorption characteristics of the rod and cone pigments in the goldfish [455, 530, and 625 nm for the cones (5) and 522 nm for the rods (6)], this behavior is spectacular to observe experimentally. With the wavelength set at 520 nm and the spot of light covering the center of the receptive field, one can observe the response changing from ON to OFF, or from OFF to ON, as one changes from a dark-adapted condition to a lightadapted one. The phenomenon is so clear that a misclassification is impossible.

This association of the rods with the red cones is not limited to the goldfish. The finding is in agreement with Purkinje-shift studies done at the singleunit level, but in most cases recordings involved noncolor opponent cells. This is true in the fish (7), the frog (8), the cat (9), and the monkey (10), where the rod input has the same sign as the long-wavelength cone input. However, care must be taken when two cone pigments are known to exist on the long-wavelength side of the rod pigment. Only data indicating a shift to the longest-wavelength peak should be considered as supporting evidence. For retinas that have opponent color cells the data are rare. It is interesting to note that the ground squirrel retina, which has no red cone, has no rod either (11). Three opponent color cells have been found in the lateral geniculate of the cat (12). Two of them show rods with the same type of input as the blue cones; the third cell shows the rod input with the same sign as the longwavelength cone input. In the monkey geniculate (13), 6 out of 25 cells were found to have a rod input. Four of them were type I cells, which are characterized by having a different spectral sensitivity in the center and in the surround. In one cell, the rod input had the same sign as the green cone input; the type of cone input in the three others was not mentioned. The last two cells that had a rod input were of type III, receiving inputs for possibly all three cones, and the rod input had the same sign as the cone input.

The finding that, in double opponent cells in the goldfish, the sign of the rod input is similar to that of the red



Fig. 2. Receptive field organization in the two states of light adaptation of the opponent color cells, which codes simultaneous color contrast. Note that the rod response is always similar to the red cone response.

cone input can be considered an ex-

tension of the Purkinje-shift rule to opponent color cells. However, the few cells that have been found to be exceptions to the rule have to be considered as evidence against a universal generalization that the rod input always has the same sign as the long-wavelength cone input.

JEAN-PIERRE RAYNAULD* Thomas C. Jenkins Department of Biophysics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

References and Notes

- H. G. Wagner, E. F. MacNichol, Jr., M. L. Wolbarsht, J. Gen. Physiol. 43 (Suppl.) 45 (1960); R. L. De Valois, C. J. Smith, S. T. Kitai, A. J. Karoly, Science 127, 238 (1958); C. R. Michael, J. Neurophysiol. 31, 200 (2017)
- (1558), C. K. Michael, J. Heutophysici. 54, 268 (1968).
 2. N. W. Daw, J. Physiol. London 197, 567
- (1968).
 3. E. F. MacNichol, Jr., and G. Svaetichin, Amer. J. Ophthalmol. 46 (No. 3, part 11),
- W. Wunder, Z. Vergl. Physiol. 3, 1 (1925).
 W. B. Marks, J. Physiol. London 178, 14
- (1965).
 6. F. W. Munz and S. A. Schwanzara, Vision Res. 7, 111 (1967).
- 7. M. Jacobson, in Central Nervous System and
- M. Jacobson, in Central Network System and Fish Behavior, D. Ingle, Ed. (Univ. of Chi-cago Press, Chicago, 1968), p. 17.
 K. O. Donner and W. A. H. Rushton, J. Physiol. London 149, 303 (1959); R. M. Chapman, J. Opt. Soc. Amer. 51, 1102 (1961); C. Baumann and H. Scheibner, Vision Res. 8, 1127 (1968).
- 9. H. B. Barlow, R. Fitzhugh, S. W. Kuffler, J. Physiol. London 137, 327 (1957); D. P. Andrews and P. Hammond, *ibid.* 209, 65 (1970); N. W. Daw and A. L. Pearlman, *ibid.* 201, 745 (1969). Whether the 556-nm cone of the cat should be called green or red is a question of nomenclature since its absorbing pack is located between the packs. absorption peak is located between the peaks of the human green and red cones. It is in fact closer to the red peak. 10. P. Gouras and K. Link, J. Physiol. London 184, 499 (1966); P. Gouras, *ibid.* 192, 747
- (1967).
- J. E. Dowling, in The Physiological Basis for Form Discrimination (Brown University, Providence, R.I., 1964), pp. 17-23.
 A. L. Pearlman and N. W. Daw, Science
- 167, 85 (1970).
- 13. T. N. Wiesel and D. H. Hubel, J. Neuro-
- N. W. Wieser and D. H. Hubel, J. Neuro-physiol. 29, 1115 (1966).
 Supported by NSF grant GB 7579 and NIH grant NB 03582-06, I thank Dr. Edward F. MacNichol, Jr., for use of his laboratory and for support and encouragement during the support and encouragement during the work.
- Present address: Centre des Sciences Neuro-Université de Montréal, logiques. Boîte postale 6128, Montréal, Québec, Canada.

10 January 1972; revised 25 April 1972

Copyright © 1972 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science